Plumbing Board Request for Action

PRINT IN INK or TYPE

NAME OF SUBMITTER	PURPOSE OF REQUEST (check all that apply):						
Jason Kruger	\checkmark Code Amendment $\ \square$ Repeal of an existing Rule						
The Minnesota Plumbing Code (MN Rules, Chapter 4714) is available at https://epubs.iapmo.org/2020/MPC/							
Specify the purpose of the proposal: If recommendation for code change for appurtenance or method (check all that apply)							
□ Appurtenance (e.g., water conditioning equipment) □ Test Method							
\checkmark Other (describe) Clarification of pipe to manhole co	nnections in storm drainage applications.						
Does your submission contain a Trade Secret? □ Yes ✓ No							
If Yes, mark " TRADE SECRET " prominently on each page of information. Minnesota Statutes, section 13.37, subdivision 1							
"Trade secret information" means government data, incl method, technique or process (1) that was supplied by t subject of efforts by the individual or organization that a secrecy, and (3) that derives independent economic val- to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means its disclosure or use.	he affected individual or organization, (2) that is the reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its						
Note that, although "trade secret" information is generally not public, the Board and its committees may disclose "trade secret" information at a public meeting of the Board or committee if reasonably necessary for the Board or committee to conduct the business or agenda item before it (such as your request.) The record of the meeting will be public. Describe the proposed change. The Minnesota Plumbing Code (Minnesota Rules Chapter 4714) is available here:							
 <u>https://epubs.iapmo.org/2020/MPC/</u> NOTE: Please review the Minnesota Plumbing Code and include your purpose. 	e all parts of the Code that require revision to accomplish						
	e, should be <i>specific</i> . <mark>If modifying existing rule language,</mark>						
underline new words and strike through deleted words. Please list all areas of the Minnesota Plumbing Code that would be affected.							
	Connections of concrete pipe to concrete storm						
	d other similar structures may be made using a mortar						
joint, except where testing is required in accord							
	. All connections of PE, PVC and Vitrified Clay pipe to						
	ains and other similar structures, as designated by the						
Engineer of Record, shall be made using resilien	t flexible joint connections.						
Definitions:							
• 215							
	be penetrates a manhole or concrete structure wall by						
filling the annular space between the pipe and the wall with non-shrink grout or an approved mortar							
mixture consisting of cement, aggregates and w							

	Jse Only Proposal received cor	-					
Date Proposer notified of gaps:	Mode of notification (e.g., e-mail)	Date returned to Proposer:	Date materials re-received:				
Office Use Only							
RFA File No.	Date Received by DLI	Dated Received by Committee	Date of Forwarded to Board				
PB0203	6/2/2025	6/4/2025					
Title of RFA RFA PB0203.Jason Krueger.MN Concrete Pipe.Section 1101.4.7 Storm Drainage - Rec'd 6.2.2025							
Committee Recommendation to t		Reject 🗌 Abstain					
Board approved as submitted: L Yes L No Board approved as modified: L Yes L No							
Need and Reasons For the Change. Thoroughly explain the need and why you believe it is reasonable to make this change. During a rulemaking process, the need and reasonableness of all proposed rule changes must be justified; therefore, a detailed explanation is necessary to ensure the Board thoroughly considers all aspects of the proposal.							
The reason for the change is	to clarify where resilient rubber	joints are and are not required	d.				
 In most storm drainage applications, the concrete structures used for manholes and catch basins fall within the exceptions for testing as stated in 1107.2 (3) Testing Exceptions (3): Portions of storm drainage system and sewers that are located more than ten feet from buildings, more than ten feet from buried water lines, and more than 50 feet from water wells, and do not pass through soil or water identified as being contaminated are exempt from testing. Therefore, storm drainage structures should not be required to have watertight resilient rubber joints when testing is not required. Mortar is commonly used to fill the annular space around the pipe when it is connected to a manhole or structure for storm drainage applications and should be stated separately of the sanitary drainage specification for manholes. 							
If your product/method standard(s) is not currently listed in a national code, your Request For Action will not be considered by the Board or its committees, however, you are welcome to present at any Board meeting during the Open Forum section of the Agenda.							
The proposal must be accompanied by copies of any published standards, the results of testing, and copies of any product listings, as documentation of the health, sanitation and safety performance of any materials, methods, fixtures, and/or appurtenances. If none are available, please explain:							
 ASTM C270 is the relevant standard for mortar used in below-grade applications and can be added to the appendix where other standards are listed. 							
Please attach electronic scanned copies of any literature, standards and product approvals or listings. Printed or copyrighted materials, <i>along with written permission from the publisher to distribute the materials at meetings</i> , and email to <u>DLI.ccldboards@state.mn.us</u>							
Primary reason for change: (check only one)							
Protect public, health, safe	ety, welfare, or security	□ Mandated by legislature					
Lower construction costs		✓ Provide uniform application					
Encourage new methods	and materials	Clarify provisions					
Change made at national		Situation unique to Minnesota					
Other (describe)							
Anticipated benefits: (check all that apply)							
Save lives/reduce injuries		✓ Provide more affordable co	onstruction				

✓ Improve uniform application	Provide building property					
Improve health of indoor environment	Drinking water quality protection					
Provide more construction alternatives	Decrease cost of enforcement					
□ Reduce regulation □ Other (describe)						
The Following Information is Optional. This Information Rulemaking and Should be Provided if Known.	can Assist in Evaluating a Request for Action and in					
Economic impact: (explain all answers marked "yes")						
1. Does the proposed change increase or decrease the cost	of enforcement? \checkmark Yes \Box No If yes, explain					
Enforcement cost would be decreased due to more com	non install practices for storm drainage.					
2. Does the proposed change increase or decrease the cost	of compliance? ✓ Yes					
Include the estimated cost increase or decrease, and who will	Il bear the cost increase or experience the cost decrease:					
	ms are more familiar with mortar joints it could result in					
a decrease of the cost of compliance.						
3. Are there less costly or intrusive methods to achieve the p	oroposed change? □ Yes ✓ No If yes, explain					
4. Were alternative methods considered? \Box Yes \checkmark	No If no, why not? If yes, explain what alternative					
methods were considered and why they were rejected. With the mortar joint option being the one that is commo	only used and the cheanest alternative for storm drainage					
install there were no other alternatives reviewed.	my used and the cheapest alternative for storm dramage					
5. If there is a fiscal impact, try to explain any benefit that wil	I offset the cost of the change. If there is no impact, mark					
"N/A." N/A						
6. Provide a description of the classes of persons affected by	v a proposed change, who will bear the cost, and who will					
benefit. This change would benefit the storm drainage in						
7. Does the proposed rule affect farming operations? (Agricu	Itural buildings are exempt from the Minnesota Building Code					
under Minnesota Statutes, Section 326B.121.)	\checkmark No If yes, explain					
Are there any existing Federal Standards?	✓ No If yes, list:					
Are there any differences between the proposed change and	0 0					
✓ Not applicable □ Unknown If yes, describe each diffe	rence & explain why each difference is needed & reasonable.					
Minnesota Statutes, section 14.127, requires the Board to de	termine if the cost of complying with proposed rule changes					
in the first year after the changes take effect will exceed \$25,000 for any small business or small city. A small business is defined as a business (either for profit or nonprofit) with less than 50 full-time employees and a small city is defined as a						
city with less than ten full-time employees.						
During the first year after the proposed changes go into effect	t, will it cost more than \$25,000 for any small business or					
small city of comply with the change? \Box Yes \checkmark No If yes, identify by name the small business(es or small city(ies).						

Will this proposed plumbing code amendment require any local government to adopt or amend an ordinance or other regulation in order to comply with the proposed plumbing code amendment? \Box Yes \checkmark No If yes, identify by name the government(s) and ordinances(s) that will need to be amended in order to comply with the proposed plumbing code amendment.

Additional supporting documentation may also be attached to this form. Are there any additional comments you feel the Committee/Board may need to consider? If so, please state them here:

Information regarding submitting this form:

- Submissions are received and heard by the Committee on an "as received" basis. Any missing documentation will
 delay the process, and your proposal will be listed as the date it was received "Complete."
- Submit any supporting documentation to be considered, such as manufacturer's literature, approvals by other states, and engineering data electronically to <u>DLI.CCLDBOARDS@state.mn.us</u>. Once your Request For Action form has been received, it will be assigned a file number. Please reference this file number on any correspondence and supplemental submissions.
- For copyrighted materials that must be purchased from publishers, such as published standards, product approvals
 or testing data, listings by agencies (IAPMO, ASSE, ASTM, etc.,) you may send (or email) two copies, *along with
 written permission from the publisher to distribute the materials at meetings*, via U.S. Mail to: Plumbing Board, c/o
 Department of Labor and Industry, 443 Lafayette Road No., St. Paul, MN 55155-4344.
- For materials that must be submitted by U.S. Mail, please include a copy of your "Request For Action" form originally submitted and reference your assigned RFA file number.

Information for presentation to the Committee and/or Board:

- Limit presentations to 5 minutes or less.
- Be prepared to answer questions regarding the proposal and any documentation.

Information regarding Committee and/or Board function:

The Plumbing Board or designated Committee.

I understand that any action is a recommendation to the Plumbing Board and is not to be considered final action.

Submitter's Name	Submitter's Email Address	Submitter's Firm Name			
Submitter S Name		Submitter's Firm Name			
r 17	jkruger@jskrugerconsulti				
Jason Kruger	ng.com	Minnesota Concrete	Minnesota Concrete Pipe Association		
Presenter's name, phone	e, and email if different				
Submitter's Mailing Stre	et Address	City	Sta	te Zip Code	
3540 Dupont Ave. S		Minneapolis	MI	N 5540	
Submitter's Phone	Submitter's Signature	original, electronic or ty	vped) Date		
612-867-2037	Jason Kruger		5/30/25	5	
-					
•	stions on completing this form, c		er, Department	of Labor and	
ndustry at michael.we	estemeier@state.mn.us or by pho	one 651-284-5898.			