

Plumbing Board Request for Action

PRINT IN INK or TYPE

NAME OF SUBMITTER	PURPOSE OF REQUEST (check all that apply): <input type="checkbox"/> New Code		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Code Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Repeal of an existing Rule		
The Minnesota Plumbing Code (MN Rules, Chapter 4714) is available at https://epubs.iapmo.org/2020/MPC/			
Specify the purpose of the proposal: If recommendation for code change for appurtenance or method (check all that apply)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Appurtenance (e.g., water conditioning equipment) <input type="checkbox"/> Test Method			
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe)			
Does your submission contain a Trade Secret? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, mark “ TRADE SECRET ” prominently on each page of your submission that you believe contains trade secret information. Minnesota Statutes, section 13.37, subdivision 1(b), defines “trade secret” as follows: “Trade secret information” means government data, including a formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique or process (1) that was supplied by the affected individual or organization, (2) that is the subject of efforts by the individual or organization that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy, and (3) that derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use. Note that, although “trade secret” information is generally not public, the Board and its committees may disclose “trade secret” information at a public meeting of the Board or committee if reasonably necessary for the Board or committee to conduct the business or agenda item before it (such as your request.) The record of the meeting will be public.			
Describe the proposed change. The Minnesota Plumbing Code (Minnesota Rules Chapter 4714) is available here: https://epubs.iapmo.org/2020/MPC/			
NOTE: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Please review the Minnesota Plumbing Code and include all parts of the Code that require revision to accomplish your purpose.• The proposed change, including suggested rule language, should be <i>specific</i>. If modifying existing rule language, <u>underline new words</u> and strike through deleted words. Please list all areas of the Minnesota Plumbing Code that would be affected.			
For Office/Committee Use Only Proposal received completed? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
Date Proposer notified of gaps:	Mode of notification (e.g., e-mail)	Date returned to Proposer:	Date materials re-received:
Office Use Only			
RFA File No.	Date Received by DLI	Dated Received by Committee	Date of Forwarded to Board
Title of RFA		:By	
Committee Recommendation to the Board: <input type="checkbox"/> Accept <input type="checkbox"/> Reject <input type="checkbox"/> Abstain			
Board approved as submitted: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Board approved as modified: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

Need and Reasons For the Change. Thoroughly explain the need and why you believe it is reasonable to make this change. During a rulemaking process, the need and reasonableness of all proposed rule changes must be justified; therefore, a detailed explanation is necessary to ensure the Board thoroughly considers all aspects of the proposal.

If your product/method standard(s) is not currently listed in a national code, your Request For Action will not be considered by the Board or its committees, however, you are welcome to present at any Board meeting during the Open Forum section of the Agenda.

The proposal must be accompanied by copies of any published standards, the results of testing, and copies of any product listings, as documentation of the health, sanitation and safety performance of any materials, methods, fixtures, and/or appurtenances. If none are available, please explain:

Please attach electronic scanned copies of any literature, standards and product approvals or listings. Printed or copyrighted materials, ***along with written permission from the publisher to distribute the materials at meetings***, and email to DLI.cclboards@state.mn.us

Primary reason for change: (check only one)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Protect public, health, safety, welfare, or security | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandated by legislature |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lower construction costs | <input type="checkbox"/> Provide uniform application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Encourage new methods and materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Clarify provisions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Change made at national level | <input type="checkbox"/> Situation unique to Minnesota |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) _____ | |

Anticipated benefits: (check all that apply)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save lives/reduce injuries | <input type="checkbox"/> Provide more affordable construction |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Improve uniform application | <input type="checkbox"/> Provide building property |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Improve health of indoor environment | <input type="checkbox"/> Drinking water quality protection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Provide more construction alternatives | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease cost of enforcement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reduce regulation | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) _____ |

The Following Information is Optional. This Information can Assist in Evaluating a Request for Action and in Rulemaking and Should be Provided if Known.

Economic impact: (explain all answers marked "yes")

1. Does the proposed change increase or decrease the cost of enforcement? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain

2. Does the proposed change increase or decrease the cost of compliance? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain
Include the estimated cost increase or decrease, and who will bear the cost increase or experience the cost decrease:

3. Are there less costly or intrusive methods to achieve the proposed change? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain

4. Were alternative methods considered? ☐ Yes ☐ No If no, why not? If yes, explain what alternative methods were considered and why they were rejected.

5. If there is a fiscal impact, try to explain any benefit that will offset the cost of the change. If there is no impact, mark "N/A."

6. Provide a description of the classes of persons affected by a proposed change, who will bear the cost, and who will benefit.

7. Does the proposed rule affect farming operations? (Agricultural buildings are exempt from the Minnesota Building Code under Minnesota Statutes, Section 326B.121.) ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, explain

Are there any existing Federal Standards? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, list:

Are there any differences between the proposed change and existing federal regulations? ☐ Yes ☐ No
☐ Not applicable ☐ Unknown If yes, describe each difference & explain why each difference is needed & reasonable.

Minnesota Statutes, section 14.127, requires the Board to determine if the cost of complying with proposed rule changes in the first year after the changes take effect will exceed \$25,000 for any small business or small city. A small business is defined as a business (either for profit or nonprofit) with less than 50 full-time employees and a small city is defined as a city with less than ten full-time employees.

During the first year after the proposed changes go into effect, will it cost more than \$25,000 for any small business or small city of comply with the change? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, identify by name the small business(es or small city(ies).

Will this proposed plumbing code amendment require any local government to adopt or amend an ordinance or other regulation in order to comply with the proposed plumbing code amendment? ☐ Yes ☐ No, If yes, identify by name the government(s) and ordinances(s) that will need to be amended in order to comply with the proposed plumbing code amendment.

Additional supporting documentation may also be attached to this form. Are there any additional comments you feel the Committee/Board may need to consider? If so, please state them here:

Information regarding submitting this form:

- Submissions are received and heard by the Committee on an “as received” basis. **Any missing documentation will delay the process, and your proposal will be listed as the date it was received “Complete.”**
- **Submit any supporting documentation to be considered**, such as manufacturer’s literature, approvals by other states, and engineering data electronically to DLI.CCLDBOARDS@state.mn.us. Once your Request For Action form has been received, it will be assigned a file number. Please reference this file number on any correspondence and supplemental submissions.
- For copyrighted materials that must be purchased from publishers, such as published standards, product approvals or testing data, listings by agencies (IAPMO, ASSE, ASTM, etc.,) you may send (or email) two copies, *along with written permission from the publisher to distribute the materials at meetings*, via U.S. Mail to: Plumbing Board, c/o Department of Labor and Industry, 443 Lafayette Road No., St. Paul, MN 55155-4344.
- For materials that must be submitted by U.S. Mail, please include a copy of your “Request For Action” form originally submitted and reference your assigned RFA file number.

Information for presentation to the Committee and/or Board:

- Limit presentations to 5 minutes or less.
- Be prepared to answer questions regarding the proposal and any documentation.

Information regarding Committee and/or Board function:

- The Plumbing Board or designated Committee.

I understand that any action is a recommendation to the Plumbing Board and is not to be considered final action.

NAME	EMAIL ADDRESS	FIRM NAME	
NAME, PHONE NUMBER AND E-MAIL ADDRESS OF PRESENTER TO THE COMMITTEE (if different):			
MAILING STREET ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
PHONE	SIGNATURE (original or electronic)	DATE	

For Assistance or questions on completing this form, contact Mike Westemeier, Department of Labor and Industry at michael.westemeier@state.mn.us or by phone 651-284-5898.

1017.0 Oil and Flammable Liquid Interceptors.

1017.1 Interceptors Required. Repair garages and gasoline stations with grease racks or grease pits, parking garages over 1,000 square feet, vehicle wash facilities, and factories that have oily waste, flammable waste, or both as a result of manufacturing, storage, maintenance, repair, or testing processes, shall be provided with an oil or flammable liquid interceptor. Floor drains in such locations shall be connected directly to oil and flammable liquid interceptors.

1017.2 Interceptor Design Alternatives. Oil interceptors shall comply with IAPMO IGC 183 or be in accordance with Section 1017.3 through 1017.4

1017.3 Interceptor Details. Oil and flammable liquid interceptors shall be in accordance with the following:

- (1) The separation or vapor compartment shall be independently vented to the outer air. Where two or more separation or vapor compartments are used, each shall be vented to the outer air or shall be permitted to connect to a header that is installed at a minimum of 6 inches (152mm) above the spill line of the lowest floor drain invent apparently to the outer air.
- (2) The minimum size of a flammable vapor vent shall be not less than 2 inches (51mm) and where vented through a sidewall, the vent shall be not less than 10 feet (3048mm) above the adjacent level at an approved location.
- (3) The interceptor shall be vented on the sewer side and shall not connect into a flammable vapor vent. Oil and flammable interceptors shall be provided with gastight cleanout covers that shall be readily accessible.
- (4) The waste line shall be not less than 3 inches (80mm) in diameter with a full size clean out to grade.
- (5) Where an interceptor provided with an overflow, it shall be provided with an overflow line, not less than 2 inches (80mm) in diameter, to an approved waste oil tank having a minimum capacity of 550 gallons (2082 L) and meeting the requirements of the Authority Having Jurisdiction.
 - (a) The waste oil from the separator shall flow by gravity or shall be pumped to a higher elevation by an automatic pump.
 - (b) Pumps shall be adequately sized and accessible.
 - (c) Waste oil tank shall have a 2 inch (80mm) minimum pump out connection at grade and a 1½ inch (28mm) minimum vent to atmosphere at an approved location not less than 10 feet (3048mm) above grade.

(6) Drains discharging into interceptors must not be designed to retain liquid waste.

1017.4 Design of interceptors. Each manufactured interceptor that is rated shall be stamped or labeled by the manufacturer with an indication of its full discharge rate in gpm (L/s). The following shall apply:

(1) The full discharge rate to such an interceptor shall be determined at full flow. Each interceptor shall be rated equal to or greater than the incoming flow and shall be provided with an overflow line to an underground tank.

(2) Interceptors not rated by the manufacturer shall have a depth of not less than 2 feet (610mm) below the invert of the discharge drain. The outlet opening shall have not less than an 18 inch (457mm) water seal and shall have a minimum capacity as follows:

(a) Where not more than three motor vehicles are serviced, stored, or both, interceptors should have a minimum capacity of 6 cubic feet (0.2 m³) and 1 cubic foot (0.03 m³) of capacity shall be added for each vehicle up to 10 vehicles.

(b) Above 10 vehicles, each interceptor shall have a holding capacity of not less than 35 cubic feet.

(c) Where vehicles are serviced and not stored, interceptor capacity shall be based on a net capacity of 1 cubic foot (0.03 m³) for each 100 square feet (9.29 m²) of the surface to be drained into the interceptor, with the minimum of 6 cubic feet (0.2 m³)

1017.5 Maintenance. Service and maintenance records shall be kept by the owner and available for viewing by The Authority Having Jurisdiction upon request. The service and maintenance records shall demonstrate periodic removal of accumulated substances in the oil and flammable liquid interceptor based on the interceptor's capacity as required by the manufacturer's recommended maintenance instructions. Where the Authority Having Jurisdiction determines does an interceptor is not being properly cleaned or maintained, the Authority Having Jurisdiction shall have the authority to mandate a maintenance program.