Plumbing Board c/o Department of Labor and Industry 443 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4344

www.dli.mn.gov

Email: DLI.ccldboards@state.mn.us

## PB0167.RFA.Kyle Dimler.Section 310.5 - Revised at the UPC meeting on 1/2/2025

## Plumbing Board Request for Action

PRINT IN INK or TYPE

NAME OF SUBMITTER		PURPOSE OF REQUEST (che	ck all that apply): New Code
Kyle	Dimler	Code Amendment	Repeal of an existing Rule
The Minnesota Plumbing Cod	le (MN Rules, Chapter 4714)	is available at https://epubs.iap	pmo.org/2020/MPC/
Specify the purpose of the apply)	proposal: If recommendation	n for code change for appurtena	nce or method (check all that
Appurtenance (e.g., water	conditioning equipment)	Test Method	
		ed and required methods of sto	orm drainage system discharge.
Does your submission con	tain a Trade Secret? Yes	No No	
		e of your submission that you b n 1(b), defines "trade secret" as	
method, technique or pro subject of efforts by the secrecy, and (3) that der	ocess (1) that was supplied b individual or organization that ives independent economic v	ncluding a formula, pattern, comy the affected individual or orga are reasonable under the circu value, actual or potential, from n ons by, other persons who can c	nization, (2) that is the imstances to maintain its obtaing generally known
secret" information at a public conduct the business or agen	meeting of the Board or con da item before it (such as yo	not public, the Board and its cor nmittee if reasonably necessary ur request.) The record of the m	for the Board or committee to neeting will be public.
Describe the proposed cha https://epubs.iapmo.org/2020		ng Code (Minnesota Rules Chap	oter 4714) is available here:
NOTE:			,
	ota Plumbing Code and inclu	ide all parts of the Code that re-	quire revision to accomplish
your purpose.		10.10	
	cluding suggested rule langu: strike through deleted words	age, should be specific. If modif	ying existing rule language,
	nesota Plumbing Code that		
language: "A storm drainage Rules Chapter 7090 (MN MP Statute 103D (MN Watershed contextual reference and not the UPC Committee on Jan. 2, mmittee but noted that full Plarent language: A storm draina apter 7090 or the design param	system, which is designed to CA Storm Water Regulations of District Statute, shall not be part of proposed amendment 2025, the Committee member ambing Board approval is needing system, which is designed to the design is below the inverse.	s made the following revisions: S led. The following language woul o discharge in compliance with th MN Statute 103D, shall not be con t of the storm water piping enter	the design parameters of MN govern pursuant to MN racketed language is for section 310.5 was accepted by the d be added at the end of the section design parameters of Mn Rules asidered an obstruction, as long as
	Mode of notification (e.g., e-ma		Date materials re-received:
Office Use Only			
RFA File No.	Date Received by DLI	Dated Received by Committee	Date of Forwarded to Board
PB0167	3/6/2024		
Fitle of RFA PB0167.RFA.Kyle Dimler.S	ection 310.5 rec'd 3.6.2024	:Ву	
Committee Recommendation to	the Board: Accept Reje	ct Abstain	
Board approved as submitted:	Yes No	Board approved as modified:	Yes No

Need and Reasons For the Change. Thoroughly explain the need and why you believe it is reasonable to make this change. During a rulemaking process, the need and reasonableness of all proposed rule changes must be justified; therefore, a detailed explanation is necessary to ensure the Board thoroughly considers all aspects of the proposal. This proposed code amendment is necessary in order to provide clarifying resolution through the rulemaking process to the issues that have been attempted to be addressed in part by MN Plumbing Board Final Interpretations PB0159 in February of 2023 and PB0160 in November of 2023. These partial attempts in addressing the permissible methods of storm water drainage system discharges have resulted in inordinate construction project delays and construction project cost increase throughout the State of MN. The MPCA and other storm water regulatory agencies (e.g. watershed districts) have encouraged for multiple decades, as a Best Management Practice, the discharge of storm sewer piping at the normal water level in storm water retention ponds, detention ponds, and subterranean detention/infiltration systems as a partial means of energy dispersion to minimize the scouring effect of rapidly moving water, which would otherside result in significantly higher levels of erosion and sedementation of surrounding lands, thereby increasing water pollution. The proposed change will provide continued compliance with MPCA and watershed district stormwater management requirements without causing any deleterious effects to plumbing systems or the environment. If your product/method standard(s) is not currently listed in a national code, your Request For Action will not be considered by the Board or its committees, however, you are welcome to present at any Board meeting during the Open Forum section of the Agenda. The proposal must be accompanied by copies of any published standards, the results of testing, and copies of any product listings, as documentation of the health, sanitation and safety performance of any materials, methods, fixtures, and/or appurtenances. If none are available, please explain: Plesae reference: 40CFR 450.21, NPDES requirements, and the MPCA Stormwater Manual for standards addressing storm water drainage system discharge design parameters. Please attach electronic scanned copies of any literature, standards and product approvals or listings. Printed or copyrighted materials, along with written permission from the publisher to distribute the materials at meetings, and email to DLI.ccldboards@state.mn.us Primary reason for change: (check only one) Protect public, health, safety, welfare, or security Mandated by legislature Lower construction costs Provide uniform application Encourage new methods and materials Clarify provisions Change made at national level Situation unique to Minnesota Other (describe) Anticipated benefits: (check all that apply) Save lives/reduce injuries Provide more affordable construction Improve uniform application Provide building property Improve health of indoor environment Drinking water quality protection Provide more construction alternatives Decrease cost of enforcement Reduce regulation Other (describe)

The Following Information is Optional. This Information can Assist in Evaluating a Request for Action and in Rulemaking and Should be Provided if Known.
Economic impact: (explain all answers marked "yes")  1. Does the proposed change increase or decrease the cost of enforcement?  I Yes No If yes, explain
The proposed change will decrease the cost of enforcement because it will eliminate the need for submittal, review, aproval, and inspection of numerous proposals of alternate materials and methods of construction equivalency required for compliance based upon current code intrepretation and PB0159/PB0160.
2. Does the proposed change increase or decrease the cost of compliance? Yes No If yes, explain Include the estimated cost increase or decrease, and who will bear the cost increase or experience the cost decrease:
The proposed change will decrease the cost of compliance by eliminating the need for alternate materials and methods submittals. In numerous cases, the cost of placing, compacting, and inspecting vast amounts of site fill required to achieve increased site elevations required to comply with current language and interpretation, could also be eliminated.
3. Are there less costly or intrusive methods to achieve the proposed change?   Yes No If yes, explain
4. Were alternative methods considered?  Yes  No If no, why not? If yes, explain what alternative methods were considered and why they were rejected.
Costly site elevation increases to comply with the implied requirements reqsulting from PB0159/PB0160.
5. If there is a fiscal impact, try to explain any benefit that will offset the cost of the change. If there is no impact, mark "N/A."  N/A
<ol> <li>Provide a description of the classes of persons affected by a proposed change, who will bear the cost, and who will benefit.</li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>
7. Does the proposed rule affect farming operations? (Agricultural buildings are exempt from the Minnesota Building Code under Minnesota Statutes, Section 326B.121.)   Yes No If yes, explain
Are there any existing Federal Standards?
Are there any differences between the proposed change and existing federal regulations?   Yes No Not applicable Unknown If yes, describe each difference & explain why each difference is needed & reasonable.
Minnesota Statutes, section 14.127, requires the Board to determine if the cost of complying with proposed rule changes in the first year after the changes take effect will exceed \$25,000 for any small business or small city. A small business is defined as a business (either for profit or nonprofit) with less than 50 full-time employees and a small city is defined as a city with less than ten full-time employees.
During the first year after the proposed changes go into effect, will it cost more than \$25,000 for any small business or small city of comply with the change?   Yes  No If yes, identify by name the small business(es or small city(ies).

regulation in order to comply	with the proposed plumbing c	y local government to adopt or a ode amendment?	, If yes, identi	ify by name the
Additional supporting docume	entation may also be attached	to this form. Are there any addition	onal comments	s you feel the
Committee/Board may need t	to consider? If so, please stat	e them here:		
<ul> <li>delay the process, and y</li> <li>Submit any supporting of the states, and engineering dots has been received, it will be supplemental submissions.</li> <li>For copyrighted materials or testing data, listings by written permission from the Department of Labor and.</li> <li>For materials that must be submitted and reference y</li> <li>Information for presentation.</li> <li>Limit presentations to 5 m.</li> <li>Be prepared to answer question.</li> </ul>	d and heard by the Committee your proposal will be listed a documentation to be considered at a electronically to DLI.CCLD be assigned a file number. Place that must be purchased from a agencies (IAPMO, ASSE, AST that must be purchased from a gencies (IAPMO, ASSE, AST the publisher to distribute the management of the Committee and/or Beninutes or less. Liestions regarding the proposal mittee and/or Board functior	oard: al and any documentation.	mplete." rature, approvour Request For any correspondenderds, production to: Plumbing	vals by other or Action form ondence and uct approvals along with g Board, c/o
	<b>建筑的大块</b> 。			
understand that any action	is a recommendation to the	e Plumbing Board and is not to	be considere	d final action.
NAME	EMAIL ADDRESS	FIRM NAME		
Kyle Dimler	kdimler@hutchinsonmn.gov	City of Hutchinson		
NAME, PHONE NUMBER AND E	E-MAIL ADDRESS OF PRESENT	FER TO THE COMMITTEE (if different	nt):	
MAILING STREET ADDRESS		CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
111 Hassan St. SE		Hutchinson	MN	55350
PHONE	SIGNATURE (original of	or electronic) DATE		
320-234-4220	Phyle D	3/6/2024		
For Assistance or questions	s on completing this form, c	ontact Mike Westemeier, Depa	artment of La	bor and

Industry at michael.westemeier@state.mn.us or by phone 651-284-5898.