

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES

(This form must be submitted electronically)

SAC-16

Author/requestor: Trevor Axner

Email address: trevor.axner@ulteig.com

Telephone number: 763-277-6255

Firm/Association affiliation, if any: BAM

Proposed Code Change - Language

1309.0602 SECTION R602, WOOD WALL FRAMING

(Keep the previous 2007 amendment, with the following update to the footnotes)

(Add to the end of footnote 'c')

c. The exterior of the wall shall be sheathed in accordance with one of the materials listed in items 32 to 38 in Table R602.3(1), including the prescribed fastening. All wall bracing requirements are to be in accordance with R602.10. Heights of tall walls may prohibit incorporation into the wall bracing system.

(Add to the end of footnote 'd')

d. Studs shall be continuous full height. Where studs do not extend full height due to a wall opening, full height studs shall be provided on each side of the opening, equal in number to the spacing of the required full height studs multiplied by half the width of the opening, plus one stud. Where multiple openings occur adjacent to one another, framing between openings is to include the total of all full height studs required for both openings combined.

(Delete footnotes 'h' and 'i' as these are redundant Code information)

Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

This existing amendment does not currently apply to tall walls with openings. Most tall walls have openings and therefore without this amendment, the table is rarely applicable.

Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

No change in cost.

Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

1309.0602

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

1309.0602

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation.

NO

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts.

NO

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code.

NO

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?

Builders, Building Officials, Contractors, Designers

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result.

Builders and contractors can find alternative designs. We have an existing amendment that we should make applicable to standard construction.

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement.

NO