

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES

(This form must be submitted electronically)

SAC-13, MN 1309.0301 Subpart 4 Table R301.5, (Minimum Uniformly Distributed Live Loads)
Author/requestor: Beth Diem for SAC (Structural Advisory Committee)

Email address: beth.diem@state.mn.us

Telephone number: 651-284-5884

Firm/Association affiliation, if any: MN DLI/CCLD, co-chair SAC (Structural Advisory Committee)

Proposed Code Change - Language

MN 1309.0301 Subp. 4 (repealed)

Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

MN Amendment added language to footnote g of Table 301.5 (Minimum Uniformly Distributed Live Loads) to include additional requirements for uninhabitable attics; these requirements were not in the model code adopted in 2007 (2006 IRC). The proposed model code to be adopted (2012 IRC) has a reorganized Table R301.5 and now includes the additional requirements under footnote g. Therefore, the amendment is no longer needed.

Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

No cost implications.

Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

X delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s). MN 1309.0301 Subp. 4

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation. No

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts. No

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code. No

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change? None

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result. No

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement. No