

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES

(This form must be submitted electronically)

### IRC-35, R202 (Rev 11-30-2011)

*Author/requestor:* Rick Davidson

*Email address:* rdavidson@ci.maple-grove.mn.us

*Telephone number:* 763-494-6061

*Firm/Association affiliation, if any:* SELF

---

### Proposed Code Change - Language

#### **1309.0202 SECTION R202, DEFINITIONS.**

**FASTENER.** A device for holding together two or more pieces, parts, or members

### Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

It was found necessary in the last code change cycle that the term “fastener” be defined in the Minnesota State Building Code. The current definition is overly broad and defines the term in ways not used in the trade or in the code. A fastener as used in the code is a nail, screw, staple or rivet as evidenced by Table R603.3(1) and others. A fastener, as used in the code, is not a clamp, strap, or other device. There is no Minnesota-specific reason to add this definition to the 2012 IRC.

### Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

This proposal will have no impact on the cost of construction.

## Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

- change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).
- change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).
- delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).
- delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s). **1309.0202 SECTION R202, DEFINITIONS.**
- neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation.

No

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts.

No

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code.

No

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?

Code officials, building designers, contractors, building owners

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result.

No

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement.

No