

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES

(This form must be submitted electronically)

IRC-45, MR 1309.0903

Author/requestor: Richard Lockrem

Email address: rich.lockrem@state.mn.us

Telephone number: 651.284.5868

Firm/Association affiliation, if any: DLI

Proposed Code Change - Language

Please provide your proposed code change in strikeout/underline format. Provide the *specific* language you would like to see changed, with new words underlined and words to be deleted should be ~~stricken~~. Also, state whether the language contained in your proposal is from a code book or from an amendment currently found in Minnesota Rule. (You may provide the language (electronically) on a separate, attached sheet).

~~1309.0903 SECTION R903, WEATHER PROTECTION.~~

~~IRC Section R903.2.2 is amended as follows:~~

~~**R903.2.2 Kick out flashing/diverter.** A kick out flashing shall be installed where the lower portion of a sloped roof stops within the plane of an intersecting wall cladding, in such a manner as to divert or kick out water away from the assembly.~~

Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

Please provide a thorough explanation of the need for this change and why this proposed code change is a reasonable change. During the rulemaking process, the Agency must defend the need and reasonableness of all its proposed changes. The Agency must submit evidence that it has considered all aspects of the proposal. (You may provide the need and reason (electronically) on a separate attached sheet).

This proposal requests the deletion of the requirements for “Kick out flashing” as amended into the 2007 MSBC, IRC Section R903.2. The requirements for kick out flashing in the 2006 IRC Section R903.2.2 were added to require a flashing method where a horizontal roof assembly intersects with a vertical wall assembly at the roof eave.

The 2012 IRC as published includes the requirements for “Kick out flashing” in IRC Section R903.2.1 - Locations. The 2012 IRC text reads: A flashing shall be installed to divert the water away from where the

eave of a sloped roof intersects a vertical sidewall. Although the definition itself is not used, the requirements are incorporated into the new code documents and the 2007 MSBC definition is no longer required. It is reasonable to delete the definition which could create confusion with the interpretation and uniform enforcement of the code by not deleting the definition.

Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

Please consider whether this proposed code change will increase/decrease costs or indicate that it will not have any cost implications and explain how it will not. If there is an increased cost, will this cost be offset somehow by a life safety or other benefit? If so, please explain. Are there any cost increases/decreases to enforce or comply with this proposed code change? If so, please explain. (You may provide the cost/benefit analysis (electronically) on a separate, attached sheet).

There will be no additional costs related to the deletion of the 2007 MSBC requirements for kick out flashing. The code requirements will remain the same and the only change is the definition of a term for the same requirements.

Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

X delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

MR 1309.0202 subpart 2

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation.

NO

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts.

YES. MR 1309.0202

1309.0202 SECTION R202, DEFINITIONS.

KICK OUT FLASHING. Flashing used to divert water where the lower portion of a sloped roof stops within the plane of an intersecting wall cladding.

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code.

NO

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?

Parties affected are, building officials, contractors and designers. There are no new requirements created by the proposal.

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result.

NO

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement.

NO