

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM
FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES
(This form must be submitted electronically)

IRC-24, R309.5 (REV 12-29-2011 FINAL)

Author/requestor: Rick Davidson

Email address: rdavidson@ci.maple-grove.mn.us

Telephone number: 763-494-6061

Firm/Association affiliation, if any: Self

Proposed Code Change - Language

~~**R309.5 Fire sprinklers.** Private garages shall be protected by fire sprinklers where the garage wall has been designed based on Table R302.1(2), Footnote a. Sprinklers in garages shall be connected to an automatic sprinkler system that complies with Section P2904. Garage sprinklers shall be residential sprinklers or quick response sprinklers, designed to provide a density of 0.05 gpm/ft². Garage doors shall not be considered obstructions with respect to sprinkler placement.~~

Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

This code language is applicable only when footnote (a) of Table R302.1(2) is used. Previous action by the committee has deleted footnote (a) because of reasons stated in that proposal. Since the footnote has been deleted, this section is irrelevant. It is necessary to delete this language to avoid confusion by users of the code who may try to apply the rule and cannot find footnote (a). It is reasonable to delete this language because it will not be used in Minnesota.

Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

This proposal will reduce construction costs.

Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).
2012 IRC section R309.5

delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation.

No

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts.

No

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code.

No

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?

Code officials, building designers, contractors, building owners

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result.

No

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement.

No