

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM
FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES
(This form must be submitted electronically)

IRC-126, R702.1(3)
IRC Table R702.1(3)

Author/requestor: Steven Pedracine
Email address: steve@mnlath-plaster.com
Telephone number: 763-757-6572
Firm/Association affiliation, if any: Minnesota Lath and Plaster Bureau

Proposed Code Change - Language

Delete stricken highlighted under Lime in Table R702.1(3) and add highlighted under Masonry Cement.

TABLE R702.1(3)
CEMENT PLASTER PROPORTIONS, PARTS BY VOLUME

COAT	CEMENT PLASTER TYPE	CEMENTITIOUS MATERIALS				VOLUME OF AGGREGATE PER SUM OF SEPARATE VOLUMES OF CEMENTITIOUS MATERIALS ^b
		Portland Cement Type I, II or III or Blended Cement Type IP, I (PM), IS or I (SM)	Plastic Cement	Masonry Cement Type M, S or N	Lime	
First	Portland or blended	1			$\frac{3}{4} - 1\frac{1}{2}$ ^a	$2\frac{1}{2} - 4$
	Masonry			1	1	$2\frac{1}{2} - 4$
	Plastic		1			$2\frac{1}{2} - 4$
Second	Portland or blended	1			$\frac{3}{4} - 1\frac{1}{2}$	3 - 5
	Masonry			1		3 - 5
	Plastic		1			3 - 5
Finish	Portland or blended	1			$\frac{3}{4} - 2$	$1\frac{1}{2} - 3$
	Masonry			1		$1\frac{1}{2} - 3$
	Plastic		1			$1\frac{1}{2} - 3$

For SI: 1 inch = 25.4 mm, 1 pound = 0.545 kg.

a. Lime by volume of 0 to 3/4 shall be used when the plaster will be placed over low-absorption surfaces such as dense clay tile or brick.

b. The same or greater sand proportion shall be used in the second coat than used in the first coat.

Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

Table R702.1(3) is in error and does not comport with ASTM C 926 Table 3.

Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

This proposal does not add or diminish costs associated with construction.

Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).
IRC Table R702.1(3)

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).
IRC Table R702.1(3)

delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation.
No

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts.
No

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code.
No

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?
Code officials, building designers, contractors, building owners

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result.
No

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement.
No