

**ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM
FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES**
(This form must be submitted electronically)

IRC-110, MR 1309.0703

Author/requestor: Steven Pedracine

Email address: steve@mnlath-plaster.com

Telephone number: 763-757-6572

Firm/Association affiliation, if any: Minnesota Lath and Plaster Bureau

Proposed Code Change - Language

R703.6.1.3 Control joints and expansion joints. Provisions for the control of expansion shall be determined by the exterior plaster application designer. ASTM C 1063-03 sections 7.11.4 - 7.11.4.4 do not apply.

Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

The 2012 IRC references ASTM C 1063 – 08, therefore it is unnecessary to define the adopted year of the standard. Decimal points are missing in reference to the ASTM sections described.

Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

This proposal does not add or diminish costs associated with construction.

Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).
R703.6. 1.3

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation.

No

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts.

No

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code.

No

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?

Code officials, building designers, contractors, building owners

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result.

No

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement.

No

MN Rule R703.6.1.3 SONAR Draft 12-20-11