

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM
FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES
(This form must be submitted electronically)

Author/requestor: Kathleen Osmonson

Email address: Kathi.osmonson@ci.mounds-view.mn.us

Telephone number: 763-717-4024

Firm/Association affiliation, if any: AMBO

Proposed Code Change - Language

Please provide your proposed code change in strikeout/underline format. Provide the *specific* language you would like to see changed, with new words underlined and words to be deleted should be ~~stricken~~. Also, state whether the language contained in your proposal is from a code book or from an amendment currently found in Minnesota Rule. (You may provide the language (electronically) on a separate, attached sheet).

Subp. 4.

Work exempt from permit.

Exemptions from permit requirements of the code do not authorize work to be done in any manner in violation of the code or any other laws or ordinances of this jurisdiction. Permits shall not be required for the following:

A.

Building:

(1)

one-story detached accessory structures, used as tool and storage sheds, playhouses, and similar uses, provided the floor area does not exceed 120 square feet (11.15 mm²);

(2)

fences not over six feet (1,829 mm) high;

(3)

oil derricks;

(4)

retaining walls that are not over four feet (1,219 mm) in height measured from the bottom of the footing to the top of the wall, unless supporting a surcharge or impounding Class I, II, or III-A liquids;

(5)

water tanks supported directly upon grade if the capacity does not exceed 5,000 gallons (18,927 L) and the ratio of height to diameter or width does not exceed 2 to 1;

(6)

sidewalks and driveways that are not part of an accessible route;

~~(7)~~

~~decks and platforms not more than 30 inches (762 mm) above adjacent grade and not attached to a structure with frost footings and which is not part of an accessible route;~~

~~(8)(7)~~

painting, papering, tiling, carpeting, cabinets, countertops, and similar finish work;

~~(9)(8)~~

temporary motion picture, television, and theater stage sets and scenery;

~~(10)(9)~~

prefabricated swimming pools installed entirely above ground accessory to dwelling units constructed to the provisions of the International Residential Code or R-3 occupancies constructed to the provisions of the International Building Code, which do not exceed both 5,000 gallons in capacity (18,925 L) and a 24-inch (610 mm) depth;

~~(11)(10)~~

window awnings supported by an exterior wall that do not project more than 54 inches (1,372 mm) from the exterior wall and do not require additional support, when constructed under the International Residential Code or Group R-3 and Group U occupancies constructed to the provisions of the International Building Code;

~~(12)(11)~~

movable cases, counters, and partitions not over five feet, nine inches (1,753 mm) in height;

~~(13)~~(12)

agricultural buildings as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 326B.103, subdivision 3; and

~~(14)~~(13)

swings and other playground equipment.

Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

Please provide a thorough explanation of the need for this change and why this proposed code change is a reasonable change. During the rulemaking process, the Agency must defend the need and reasonableness of all its proposed changes. The Agency must submit evidence that it has considered all aspects of the proposal. (You may provide the need and reason (electronically) on a separate attached sheet).

Quite often zoning permits are obtained for detached decks less than 30 inches. In my jurisdiction, roof structures are often added to these decks. If the zoning inspector goes out to check setbacks for these structures, that are technically required to be code compliant, do they know what else to look for? Are they insuring that the correct grade of lumber is being used per MN state code in order that the deck stand up to our weather? Are they verifying that these roof additions are not attached to the home and will tolerate the snow and wind loads of MN? These structures should require a building permit, a full plan review and an inspection by a qualified building inspector to ensure safety and durability in MN winters.

Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

Please consider whether this proposed code change will increase/decrease costs or indicate that it will not have any cost implications and explain how it will not. If there is an increased cost, will this cost be offset somehow by a life safety or other benefit? If so, please explain. Are there any cost increases/decreases to enforce or comply with this proposed code change? If so, please explain. (You may provide the cost/benefit analysis (electronically) on a separate, attached sheet).

There will be no additional cost for construction.

Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

X delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

1300.0120 subpart 4

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation.

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts.

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code.

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result.

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement.