

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES (This form must be submitted electronically)

Author/requestor: Scott Qualle

Email address: scott@mnspect.com

Telephone number: 952-442-7520 [Tabled by committee See Administration #27-2](#)

Firm/Association affiliation, if any: Self

Proposed Code Change - Language

1300.0130 Subp. 6. **Approval of construction documents.** If the building official issues a permit, the construction documents shall be approved in writing or by a stamp, stating "Reviewed for Code Compliance," dated, and signed by the building official or an authorized representative. One set of the construction documents that were reviewed shall be retained by the building official. The other set shall be returned to the applicant, kept at the site of the work, and open to inspection by the building official or an authorized representative. When construction documents have been prepared by a design professional, code deficiencies identified by the building official during the plan review process shall be addressed through a comprehensive review letter. Plans not requiring a design professional shall be marked-up with the required corrections or a comprehensive review letter of all identified deficiencies shall be written to document required corrections. All sets of required construction documents (site copy, municipality copy, and/or inspector copy) shall be marked identically with one copy retained by the municipality after construction is completed. Work regulated by the code shall be installed according to the reviewed construction documents, and any changes made during construction that are not in compliance with the approved construction documents shall be resubmitted for approval as an amended set of construction documents. Work not in compliance with approved construction documents shall not proceed until changes have been submitted and approved.

Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

It is an unwritten, but implied, requirement that all sets of documents be marked identically, including the municipality/building official copy. This language clarifies this requirement.

The proposed language of the rule could leave the impression that the request could be made after changes have been made, or that it is okay to proceed prior to review and approval.

Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

There is no cost effect to the process from this recommended change.

Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

1300.0130

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation.

No

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts.

No

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code.

No

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?

Permit Applicants

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result.

No

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement.

No