

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES

(This form must be submitted electronically)

Author/requestor: Roberta Juarez

Email address:rljuarez@umn.edu

Telephone number: 612.624.1801

Firm/Association affiliation, if any: University of Minnesota

---

### **Proposed Code Change - Language**

Please provide your proposed code change in strikeout/underline format. Provide the *specific* language you would like to see changed, with new words underlined and words to be deleted should be ~~stricken~~. Also, state whether the language contained in your proposal is from a code book or from an amendment currently found in Minnesota Rule. (You may provide the language (electronically) on a separate, attached sheet).

**502.4.4 Marking.** Access aisles shall be marked so as to discourage parking in them and be provided with the designation "no parking." The "no parking" designation shall be provided on a sign centered at the head end of the access aisle a maximum of 96 inches (2440 mm) from the head of the access aisle, and be mounted 60 inches (1525 mm) minimum and 66 inches (1676 mm) maximum above the floor of the access aisle, measured to the bottom of the sign. Where access aisles are marked with lines, the width measurements of access aisles and adjacent parking spaces shall be made from the centerline of the markings.

#### **Exceptions:**

1. A sign indicating no parking shall not be required where the sign would obstruct a curb ramp or pedestrian route. The no parking designation shall then be provided on the surface of the access aisle.
2. A sign indicating no parking shall not be required where parking spaces and access aisles are enclosed or otherwise protected from the elements. The no parking designation shall then be provided on the surface of the access aisle.
3. Where access aisles or parking spaces are not adjacent to another access aisle or parking space, measurements shall be permitted to include the full width of the line defining the access aisle or parking space.

### **Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason**

Please provide a thorough explanation of the need for this change and why this proposed code change is a reasonable change. During the rulemaking process, the Agency must defend the need and reasonableness of all its proposed changes. The Agency must submit evidence that is

has considered all aspects of the proposal. (You may provide the need and reason (electronically) on a separate attached sheet).

Since all access aisles are now required to be 8 feet wide there is an increasing problem that once the surface markings are covered with snow that these spaces are perceived as a parking spaces. Due to Minnesota's snowy climate and the need to ensure code-compliant disability parking spaces, above-grade signage shall be provided unless technically infeasible.

### **Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis**

Please consider whether this proposed code change will increase/decrease costs or indicate that it will not have any cost implications and explain how it will not. If there is an increased cost, will this cost be offset somehow by a life safety or other benefit? If so, please explain. Are there any cost increases/decreases to enforce or comply with this proposed code change? If so, please explain. (You may provide the cost/benefit analysis (electronically) on a separate, attached sheet).

There would be a slight cost for additional signage.

### **Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change**

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation.

No

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts.

No

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code.

No

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?

No

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result.

No

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement.

No