

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES

(This form must be submitted electronically)

Author/requestor: John G. Smith, P.E.

Email address: jsmith@michaudcooley.com

Telephone number: (612) 673-6831

Firm/Association affiliation, if any: BOMA

1323 CE-25

Proposed Code Change - Language

2012 IECC Chapter 4 COMMERCIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY
Section C402 Building Envelope Requirements
Table C403.2.3(1) Minimum Efficiency Requirements

Make the following correction to a value in the Table:

Under “Condensing units, water cooled”, for the \geq 135,000 Btuh size category, under “Minimum Efficiency as of 6/1/2011”: “~~14.0~~ 11.8 IEER”

Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

The requirement of 14.0 IEER is an apparent typographical error. The value listed in ASHRAE 90.1-2010, of which this table duplicates, states 11.8 EER. This number would also be more typical of what would be expected of equipment with a 10.5 EER value.

The reasonableness of this proposed change is that corrects a typographical error.

Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

The benefit of the proposed code change is that it clarifies the requirements.

Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

Xchange language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s). 2012 IECC Table C403.2.3(1).

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation. No

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts. No

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code. No

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result. No

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement. No